

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,708		12/31/2001	Knut Adams	1454.1102	7003
21171	7590	09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				MANCHO, RONNIE M	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3663		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Application No. O9/937,708 Examiner Ronnie Mancho --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - EREPLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. refore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in didition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued minotion (PCE) in compliance with 27 CFR 1.114

Therefo final rejoconditio	PLY FILED 06 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. re, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in n for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) 🛚	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Insigns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
37 CFR 1. (b) above,	filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.X T	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) f	Lthey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.□ A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	he a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
Т	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
C	Claim(s) allowed:
C	Claim(s) objected to: 22.
C	Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-25 and 27-30</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	he drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.□ N	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10.□ C	Other:  THOMAS G. BLACK

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) / 09/937,708

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art reads on the claims. In addition, the corrections made in claim 22 to overcome the minor objection cited in the last official action have not been entered because the corrections still contain fragmentary phrases therein. That is, the applicant attempted to rewrite claim 22 in the —means plus function—format, but no function was disclosed after the word "for" in claim 22. May be applicant should include —controlling— after "for" in claim 22 to overcome the objection.